PLAINTIFF'S

	EXHIBIT	
1	CITY-COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY	
2	PERSONNEL BOARD HEARING	
3		
4		
5	IN RE APPEAL HEARING FOR:	
6	L. M. HARTWELL,	
7	Employee.	
8		
9	CITY-COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY	
10	PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT	
11	27 Madison Avenue,	
12	Montgomery, Alabama 36101	
13	March 14, 2006	
14	5 p.m.	
15		
16	BEFORE THE PERSONNEL BOARD:	
17	Benita Froemming, Chairman	
18	Charles B. Paterson	
19	Johnny Baker	
20		
21		
22		
23	Taken by: SELAH M. DRYER, CSR	



10

12 13

14

15

17

18

19

21

23

- The memos that Hartwell sent up the chain to you -- you testified in the Mayor's Hearing that they should not have been addressed to you; is that correct?
 - Α. Yes.
- They should have been Q. addressed to his company officer rather than to you?
 - Α. Yes.
- How does that purport with this policy saying that they should be addressed to the person and the station number that you are sending them to? So if he wants to get a memo to a District Chief, he's not to address it to the District Chief, he's to address it to his company officer. Is that what your testimony is?
 - Α. Yes.
- How does that add up with the department's policy that shows right here the example is from William A. Ross,



Firefighter, to James O. Smith, District Chief?

- A. Because that's not common practice.
 - Q. It's the policy.
- A. But the district chiefs and firefighters have been instructed as to the proper chain of command for the heading of a letter.
- Q. But this right here is the interdepartmental communications policy Section 963 Proper Interdepartmental Communication.
 - A. 1992.
- Q. Exactly. That's the one. And I asked you and you checked your file to make sure it was right, didn't you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And it was right. This is the policy and it shows directly from a firefighter to a district chief.
 - A. Yes.

MR. BRANNAN: We move to